

**CITY OF DUNEDIN
 ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
 TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2019 5:30 PM.
 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE ROOM
 1415 PINEHURST RD, DUNEDIN FL 34698**

Members Present-	Members Absent-	City of Dunedin-	Guests Present-
Timothy Knowles	Roger Leibin (Alternate)	Frances Leong Sharp (Staff Liaison)	
Andrew Pavalis		Greg Rice (Staff Liaison)	
Laura Duplain			
Katie Ducharme			
Duane Wright			

I. **Call to Order-** Meeting was called to order by Tim Knowles at 5:33pm.

II. **Administrative**

- **Review and approval of Architectural Review Committee draft summary minutes for November 5, 2019.**

Motion made by Duane Wright, seconded by Andrew Pavalis to approve the draft summary minutes for the November 5, 2019 meeting. Motion passed 5-0.

III. **New Business**

- **Architectural Guidelines- Conditional Exception Language**

Staff informed the Committee that the draft language for providing exceptions from the Architectural Design Guideline styles has not been drafted in time for this meeting. Staff will bring a draft language to the Committee at the next meeting for discussion.

IV. **Old Business**

- **Discussion of the Architectural Guideline for Commercial Uses – Design Exercise**

As discussed in the last meeting, each member of the Committee was assigned to a visioning area/corridor to evaluate the architectural styles, sizes and height of the existing buildings and determine what predominant style of architecture that each area/corridor have. Per past discussions, the Committee recognizes that Dunedin does not have a specific architectural style that it follows- the overall style of the City is eclectic in nature.

In general, the Committee confirmed and came to a conclusion that based on the analysis done to each of the visioning area/corridor; there is no predominant style of architecture. Dunedin as a whole has an eclectic mixture of architecture styles which tells a story on how the town was developed over a period of time. Dictated by the use of the structure, the styles of architecture range from coastal vernacular to

contemporary style. Additionally, there is a mixture of uses within each of the vision area/corridor.

Due to the size of the parcels as well as the determination of the compatibility of the surrounding area, the Committee strongly anticipate that property owners will more likely to renovate the existing structures instead of redeveloping the property with new buildings. The Committee brought up a valid point that renovation projects currently do not go in front of them for architectural review. The Committee questioned whether renovation projects should be reviewed by them prior to approving the permit application.

The Committee will continue the discussion to the next meeting. The next step to this exercise is to have each member to provide a few styles/design elements that would be appropriate to consider in the guideline as well as styles or design elements that the Committee does not want to permit as part of examples of what not to do within the guideline.

V. Open Discussion, Input

- **Open Discussion**

Nomination and Election of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson

Staff informed the Committee that the nomination for Chairperson and Vice Chairperson is coming up. This item will be included in the next meeting agenda as an administrative item.

- **Next meeting- January 7, 2020.**

VI. Adjourn Meeting – Meeting was adjourned at 7:02pm.